



審計署署長  
香港灣仔  
告士打道七號  
入境事務大樓  
二十六樓

**Director of Audit**  
26th Floor  
Immigration Tower  
7 Gloucester Road  
Wanchai, Hong Kong

圖文傳真 Facsimile : 2583 9063

電話 Telephone : 2829 4200

30 October 2020

The President of the Legislative Council,  
Legislative Council Complex,  
Hong Kong.

Sir,

In accordance with the paper tabled in the Provisional Legislative Council on 11 February 1998 on the Scope of Government Audit in the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region — ‘Value for Money Audits’, I have the honour to submit my Report No. 75 on the results of value for money audits completed in accordance with the value for money audit guidelines laid down in the paper. These guidelines are also attached.

Yours faithfully,

John Chu

# CONTENTS

The Director of Audit's Report No. 75 contains the following chapters:

<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Subject</b>
1	Collection and removal of marine refuse by the Marine Department
2	Government's efforts in tackling shoreline refuse
3	Acquisition and management of collection items in public museums
4	Efforts of the Home Affairs Department in facilitating building management
5	Energy efficiency and conservation in government buildings
6	Funding schemes for conservation of built heritage managed by the Development Bureau
7	Hong Kong Tourism Board: Corporate governance and administrative issues
8	Hong Kong Tourism Board: Efforts in promoting tourism
9	Management of Mandatory Building Inspection Scheme by the Buildings Department
10	Provision of humanitarian assistance to non-refoulement claimants by the Social Welfare Department

# VALUE FOR MONEY AUDIT GUIDELINES

## Value for money audit

Value for money audit is an examination into the economy, efficiency and effectiveness with which any bureau of the Government Secretariat, department, agency, other public body, public office, or audited organisation has discharged its functions. Value for money audit is carried out under a set of guidelines tabled in the Provisional Legislative Council by the Chairman of the Public Accounts Committee on 11 February 1998. The guidelines were agreed between the Public Accounts Committee and the Director of Audit and have been accepted by the Administration.

### 2. The guidelines are:

- firstly, the Director of Audit should have great freedom in presenting his reports to the Legislative Council. He may draw attention to any circumstance which comes to his knowledge in the course of audit, and point out its financial implications. Subject to the guidelines, he will not comment on policy decisions of the Executive and Legislative Councils, save from the point of view of their effect on the public purse;
- secondly, in the event that the Director of Audit, during the course of carrying out an examination into the implementation of policy objectives, reasonably believes that at the time policy objectives were set and decisions made there may have been a lack of sufficient, relevant and reliable financial and other data available upon which to set such policy objectives or to make such decisions, and that critical underlying assumptions may not have been made explicit, he may carry out an investigation as to whether that belief is well founded. If it appears to be so, he should bring the matter to the attention of the Legislative Council with a view to further inquiry by the Public Accounts Committee. As such an investigation may involve consideration of the methods by which policy objectives have been sought, the Director should, in his report to the Legislative Council on the matter in question, not make any judgement on the issue, but rather present facts upon which the Public Accounts Committee may make inquiry;
- thirdly, the Director of Audit may also consider as to whether policy objectives have been determined, and policy decisions taken, with appropriate authority;

- fourthly, he may also consider whether there are satisfactory arrangements for considering alternative options in the implementation of policy, including the identification, selection and evaluation of such options;
- fifthly, he may also consider as to whether established policy aims and objectives have been clearly set out; whether subsequent decisions on the implementation of policy are consistent with the approved aims and objectives, and have been taken with proper authority at the appropriate level; and whether the resultant instructions to staff accord with the approved policy aims and decisions and are clearly understood by those concerned;
- sixthly, he may also consider as to whether there is conflict or potential conflict between different policy aims or objectives, or between the means chosen to implement them;
- seventhly, he may also consider how far, and how effectively, policy aims and objectives have been translated into operational targets and measures of performance and whether the costs of alternative levels of service and other relevant factors have been considered, and are reviewed as costs change; and
- finally, he may also be entitled to exercise the powers given to him under section 9 of the Audit Ordinance (Cap. 122).

3. The Director of Audit is not entitled to question the merits of the policy objectives of any bureau of the Government Secretariat, department, agency, other public body, public office, or audited organisation in respect of which an examination is being carried out or, subject to the guidelines, the methods by which such policy objectives have been sought, but he may question the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of the means used to achieve them.

4. Value for money audit is conducted in accordance with a programme of work which is determined annually by the Director of Audit. The procedure of the Public Accounts Committee provides that the Committee shall hold informal consultations with the Director of Audit from time to time, so that the Committee can suggest fruitful areas for value for money audit by the Director of Audit.